

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,584	04/04/2006	Jussi Tomperi	117373	3964
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 01/16/2005 PRIDGE PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			CORDERO GARCIA, MARCELA M	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1654		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/574,584	TOMPERI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
MARCELA M. CORDERO GARCIA	1654		

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failu Any	KO period for repty is specified above, the maximum statuto liure to reply within the set or extended period for repty will, y reply received by the Office later than three months after t med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	by statute, cause the applica	expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, ation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). munication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status			
2a)□	. ,-	This action is nor allowance except for	or formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposit	ition of Claims		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 31-55 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v   Claim(s) is/are allowed.   Claim(s) is/are rejected.   Claim(s) is/are objected to.   Claim(s) 31-55 are subject to restriction	withdrawn from cons	
Applicat	tion Papers		
10)		accepted or b)  n to the drawing(s) be correction is required	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for    All b  Some * c  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the  application from the International  See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been cuments have been the priority documen I Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application No Its have been received in this National Stage 17.2(a)).
	ent(s) lice of References Cited (PTO-892) lice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

### Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Application/Control Number: 10/574,584

Art Unit: 1654

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 31-43, drawn to a method for treating a disease or condition in a mammal involving an interaction with somatostatin receptor subtypes 1 and/or 4 comprising administering to a mammal a composition comprising a compound of formula I.

Group II, claim(s) 44-51 and 54, drawn to a compound of formula II.

Group III, claim(s) 52-53, drawn to a method of making a compound of formula II

Group IV, claim(s) 54-55, drawn to a method of imaging organs with a compound of formula II.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a <u>single</u> general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the technical feature of Group I is a composition of broad formula I, whilst the technical feature of Groups II-IV is a composition of broad formula II, which does not encompass all the compounds embraced by broad formula I, therefore it is deemed that the instant claims, as drafted, lack a common special technical feature. Since no single "special" technical feature is present, there is no Unity of Invention.

In addition, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1

The species are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/574,584

Art Unit: 1654

The many and multiple diseases to be treated (e.g., depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder, AHDH, angiogenesis, restenosis, new blood vessel sprouting, arteriosclerosis, diabetic angiopathy, cancer, tumor metastasis, etc.)

The many and multiple compounds encompassed by Formulas I and II.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species [i.e., elect a single and specific compound with any and all substituents fully assigned; if Group I is elected, elect also a single and specific disease (e.g., see claim 43)] to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Disease species: 31-43 Compound species 31-55

The following claim(s) are generic: 31-55.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Pursuant to PCT Rule 13.2 and Application/Control Number: 10/574,584

Art Unit: 1654

PCT Administrative Instructions, Annex B, Part 1(f)(I)(B)(2), the species are not art recognized equivalents..

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process Application/Control Number: 10/574.584

Art Unit: 1654

claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCELA M. CORDERO GARCIA whose telephone number is (571)272-2939. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia J. Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,584 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anish Gupta/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

MMCG 01/09

/Marcela M Cordero Garcia/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654